Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH] BC: resource beancounters (v4) (added user memory)

Posted by Chandra Seetharaman on Fri, 08 Sep 2006 19:23:44 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Fri, 2006-09-08 at 11:33 +0400, Pavel Emelianov wrote:

- > Chandra Seetharaman wrote:
- > > On Thu, 2006-09-07 at 00:47 +0530, Balbir Singh wrote:
- > >
- > > <snip>
- >>> Some not quite so urgent ones like support for guarantees. I think
- > >> this can
- > >
- > > IMO, guarantee support should be considered to be part of the
- > > infrastructure. Controller functionalities/implementation will be
- > > different with/without guarantee support. In other words, adding
- > > guarantee feature later will cause re-implementations.
- > I'm afraid we have different understandings of what a "guarantee" is.
- > Don't we?

may be (I am not sure :), lets get it clarified.

> Guarantee may be one of

>

- > 1. container will be able to touch that number of pages
- > 2. container will be able to sys\_mmap() that number of pages
- > 3. container will not be killed unless it touches that number of pages
- > 4. anything else

I would say (1) with slight modification

"container will be able to touch \_at least\_ that number of pages"

Note that it is not only in the context of memory alone, it is generic across resources.

For CPU it will be, "container will get \_at least\_ X ticks in Y seconds"

For number of tasks it will be, "container will get \_at least\_ X active tasks at any point of time" and so on.

And as Dave pointed, sum of guarantees of all containers \_can not\_ exceed the total amount of that resource available at the system level.

- > Let's decide what kind of a guarantee we want.
- >>> be worked out as we make progress.
- > >>

>

>>>> I agree with these requirements and lets move into this direction.

```
>>>> But moving so far can't be done without accepting:
>>>> 1. core functionality
>>>> 2. accounting
> >>>
>>> Some of the core functionality might be a limiting factor for the requirements.
>>> Lets agree on the requirements, I think its a great step forward and then
>>> build the core functionality with these requirements in mind.
> >>
> >>> Thanks,
> >>> Kirill
> >>>
>
> Using Tomcat but need to do more? Need to support web services, security?
> Get stuff done quickly with pre-integrated technology to make your job easier
> Download IBM WebSphere Application Server v.1.0.1 based on Apache Geronimo
http://sel.as-us.falkag.net/sel?cmd=lnk&kid=120709&b id=263057&dat=121642
> ckrm-tech mailing list
> https://lists.sourceforge.net/lists/listinfo/ckrm-tech
  Chandra Seetharaman | Be careful what you choose....
        - sekharan@us.ibm.com | ......you may get it.
```