
Subject: Re: Re: [RFC] network namespaces
Posted by [Mishin Dmitry](#) on Fri, 08 Sep 2006 13:10:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thursday 07 September 2006 21:27, Herbert Poetzl wrote:

> well, who said that you need to have things like RAW sockets
> or other protocols except IP, not to speak of iptable and
> routing entries ...

>

> folks who _want_ full network virtualization can use the
> more complete virtual setup and be happy ...

Let's think about how to implement this.

As I understood VServer's design, your proposal is to split CAP_NET_ADMIN to multiple capabilities and use them if required. So, for your light-weight container it is enough to implement context isolation for protected by CAP_NET_IP capability (for example) code and put 'if (!capable(CAP_NET_*))' checks to all other places. But this could be easily implemented over OpenVZ code by CAP_VE_NET_ADMIN split.

So, the question is:

Could you point out the places in Andrey's implementation of network namespaces, which prevents you to add CAP_NET_ADMIN separation later?

--

Thanks,
Dmitry.
