
Subject: Re: Re: [RFC][PATCH 0/2] user namespace [try #2]

Posted by [dev](#) on Thu, 07 Sep 2006 15:37:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> Here's a stab at semantics for how to handle file access. Should be
> pretty simple to implement, but i won't get a chance to implement this
> week.
>
> At mount, by default the vfsmount is tagged with a uid_ns.
> A new -o uid_ns=<pid> option instead tags the vfsmount with the uid_ns
> belonging to pid <pid>. Since any process in a descendent pid
> namespace should still have a valid pid in the ancestor
> pidspaces, this should work fine.
> At vfs_permission, if current->nsproxy->uid_ns != file->f_vfsmnt->uid_ns,
> 1. If file is owned by root, then read permission is granted
> 2. If file is owned by non-root, no permission is granted
> (regardless of process uid)
>
> Does this sound reasonable?
imho this is acceptable for OpenVZ as it makes VE files to be inaccessible from
host. At least this is how I understand your idea...
Am I correct?

> I assume the list of other things we'll need to consider includes
> signals between user namespaces
> keystore
> sys_setpriority and the like
> I might argue that all of these should be sufficiently protected
> by proper setup by userspace. Can you explain why that is not
> the case?

The same requirement (ability to send signals from host to VE)
is also applicable to signals.

Thanks,
Kirill
