

---

Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH] BC: resource beancounters (v4) (added user memory)

Posted by [Balbir Singh](#) on Thu, 07 Sep 2006 03:08:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Chandra Seetharaman wrote:

> On Thu, 2006-09-07 at 00:47 +0530, Balbir Singh wrote:

>

> <snip>

>> Some not quite so urgent ones - like support for guarantees. I think this can

>

> IMO, guarantee support should be considered to be part of the

> infrastructure. Controller functionalities/implementation will be

> different with/without guarantee support. In other words, adding

> guarantee feature later will cause re-implementations.

Thanks for pointing this out. Thats what I implied in the comment below.

>

>> be worked out as we make progress.

>>

>>> I agree with these requirements and lets move into this direction.

>>> But moving so far can't be done without accepting:

>>> 1. core functionality

>>> 2. accounting

>>>

>> Some of the core functionality might be a limiting factor for the requirements.

>> Lets agree on the requirements, I think its a great step forward and then

>> build the core functionality with these requirements in mind.

>>

>>> Thanks,

>>> Kirill

>>>

--

Balbir Singh,  
Linux Technology Center,  
IBM Software Labs

---