

---

Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH] BC: resource beancounters (v4) (added user memory)

Posted by [Chandra Seetharaman](#) on Wed, 06 Sep 2006 22:06:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu, 2006-09-07 at 00:47 +0530, Balbir Singh wrote:

<snip>

>

> Some not quite so urgent ones - like support for guarantees. I think this can

IMO, guarantee support should be considered to be part of the infrastructure. Controller functionalities/implementation will be different with/without guarantee support. In other words, adding guarantee feature later will cause re-implementations.

> be worked out as we make progress.

>

> > I agree with these requirements and lets move into this direction.

> > But moving so far can't be done without accepting:

> > 1. core functionality

> > 2. accounting

> >

>

> Some of the core functionality might be a limiting factor for the requirements.

> Lets agree on the requirements, I think its a great step forward and then

> build the core functionality with these requirements in mind.

>

> > Thanks,

> > Kirill

> >

--

-----  
Chandra Seetharaman | Be careful what you choose....  
- sekharan@us.ibm.com | .....you may get it.  
-----