Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/7] introduce atomic_dec_and_lock_irqsave() Posted by Roman Zippel on Wed, 30 Aug 2006 10:51:28 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

On Wed, 30 Aug 2006, Oleg Nesterov wrote:

- > > Why does this need protection against interrupts?
- >
- > uidhash_lock can be taken from irq context. For example, delayed_put_task_struct()
- > does __put_task_struct()->free_uid().

AFAICT it's called via rcu, does that mean anything released via rcu has to be protected against interrupts?

bye, Roman