

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH] BC: resource beancounters (v2)

Posted by [dev](#) on Mon, 28 Aug 2006 08:27:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Andi Kleen wrote:

>>D) Virtual scan of mm's in the over-limit container

>>

>>E) Modify existing physical scanner to be able to skip pages which

>> belong to not-over-limit containers.

>

>

> The same applies to dentries/inodes too, doesn't it? But their

> scan is already virtual so their LRUs could be just split into

> a per container list (but then didn't Christoph L. plan to

> rewrite that code anyways?)

how do you propose to handle shared files in this case?

> For memory my guess would be that (E) would be easier than (D) for user/file

> memory though less efficient.

Kirill

---