Subject: Re: [PATCH] BC: resource beancounters (v2) Posted by dev on Mon, 28 Aug 2006 08:27:16 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## Andi Kleen wrote:

>>D) Virtual scan of mm's in the over-limit container

>>

- >>E) Modify existing physical scanner to be able to skip pages which
- >> belong to not-over-limit containers.

>

>

- > The same applies to dentries/inodes too, doesn't it? But their
- > scan is already virtual so their LRUs could be just split into
- > a per container list (but then didn't Christoph L. plan to
- > rewrite that code anyways?)

how do you propose to handle shared files in this case?

- > For memory my guess would be that (E) would be easier than (D) for user/file
- > memory though less efficient.

Kirill