

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH] BC: resource beancounters (v2)  
Posted by [Nick Piggin](#) on Fri, 25 Aug 2006 15:14:03 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Andrew Morton wrote:

> On Fri, 25 Aug 2006 15:49:15 +0400  
> Kirill Korotaev <dev@sw.ru> wrote:  
>  
>  
>>>We need to go over this work before we can commit to the BC  
>>>core. Last time I looked at the VM accounting patch it  
>>>seemed rather unpleasing from a maintainability POV.  
>>  
>>hmmm... in which regard?  
>  
>  
> Little changes all over the MM code which might get accidentally broken.

I still think doing simple accounting per-page would be a better way to go than trying to pin down all "user allocatable" kernel allocations. And would require all of about 2 hooks in the page allocator. And would track \*actual\* RAM allocated by that container.

Can we continue that discussion (ie. why it isn't good enough). Last I was told it is not perfect and can be unfair... sounds like it fits the semantics perfectly ;)

--

SUSE Labs, Novell Inc.

Send instant messages to your online friends <http://au.messenger.yahoo.com>

---