Subject: Re: [PATCH] BC: resource beancounters (v2)
Posted by Andi Kleen on Fri, 25 Aug 2006 14:48:00 GMT

> D) Virtual scan of mm's in the over-limit container
>
> E) Modify existing physical scanner to be able to skip pages which
>   belong to not-over-limit containers.

The same applies to dentries/inodes too, doesn't it? But their
scan is already virtual so their LRUs could be just split into
a per container list (but then didn't Christoph L. plan to
rewrite that code anyways?)

For memory my guess would be that (E) would be easier than (D) for user/file
memory though less efficient.

-Andi