Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [RFC][PATCH] UBC: user resource beancounters Posted by Chandra Seetharaman on Thu, 24 Aug 2006 23:48:28 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Thu, 2006-08-24 at 12:10 +0100, Alan Cox wrote:
> Ar Mer, 2006-08-23 am 19:04 -0700, ysgrifennodd Chandra Seetharaman:
>> A single centralized structure that has fields that are mostly used by
>> every one should be okay I think.
> > You mean to say definition like
> > struct user beancounter {
>> fields:/* fields that exists now */
>> int kmemsize_ctlr_info1;
> char *kmemsize_ctlr_info2;
>> char *oomguar_ctlr_info1;
>> char *oomguar_ctlr_info2;
> > /* and so on */
>>}
> >
> > is the right thing to do? even though oomguar controller doesn't care
> > about kmemsize_ctlr_info* etc.,
>
> All you need is
>
> struct wombat_controller
> struct user_beancounter counter;
> void (*wombat_pest_control)(struct wombat *w);
> atomic_t wombat_population;
> int (*wombat_destructor)(struct wombat *w);
> };
```

This may not solve the problem, as

- we won't be able get the controller data structure given the beancounter data structure.
- we need to keep the data in sync (since there are multiple copies).
- we will be copying the whole beancounter data structure needlessly (the controller might care only about _its_ parameters).

I agree with you that this can be added later when needed. The problem I see is that this might need some change in the core data structure which might face more resistance (than it does now:) once it is in mainline.

 and just embed the counter in whatever you are controlling. The point of the beancounters themselves is to be *SIMPLE*. It's unfortunate that some folk seem obsessed with extending them for a million theoretical projects rather than getting them in and working and then extending them for real projects. Please lets not have another EVMS. 	
>	
> Alan	
>	
Chandra Seetharaman - sekharan@us.ibm.com	Be careful what you choose Be careful what you choose you may get it.