

---

Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH 1/6] BC: kconfig  
Posted by [Matt Helsley](#) on Thu, 24 Aug 2006 22:23:31 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu, 2006-08-24 at 15:47 +0400, Kirill Korotaev wrote:

> > Is there a reason why these can be moved to a arch-neutral place ?  
> I think a good place for BC would be kernel/Kconfig.bc

I think kernel/bc/Kconfig is fine.

> but still this should be added into archs.  
> ok?

Sourcing the bc Kconfig from arch Kconfigs would seem to suggest that resource management is only possible on a proper subset of archs. Since this is not the case wouldn't it be better to source the bc Kconfig from an arch-independent Kconfig (init/Kconfig for example)?

> > PS: Please keep ckrm-tech on Cc: please.

Also, thank you for CC'ing CKRM-Tech with your earlier posting of these patches.

> Sorry, it is very hard to track emails coming from authors and 3 mailing lists.

Yes, it can be difficult to keep track of all the email authors.

> Better tell me the interested people emails.

CC'ing only the known-interested people wouldn't be better. If anything I think it's harder for everyone than simply CC'ing a relevant mailing list like LKML and CKRM-Tech in this case.

Cheers,  
-Matt Helsley

---