

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/6] BC: kconfig

Posted by Matt Helsley on Wed, 23 Aug 2006 22:27:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 2006-08-23 at 15:32 -0700, Randy.Dunlap wrote:

> On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 15:13:42 -0700 Matt Helsley wrote:

>

> > On Wed, 2006-08-23 at 15:04 -0700, Dave Hansen wrote:

> > > On Wed, 2006-08-23 at 15:01 +0400, Kirill Korotaev wrote:

> > > > --- ./arch/sparc64/Kconfig.arkcfg 2006-07-17 17:01:11.000000000 +0400

> > > > +++ ./arch/sparc64/Kconfig 2006-08-10 17:56:36.000000000 +0400

> > > > @@ -432,3 +432,5 @@ source "security/Kconfig"

> > > > source "crypto/Kconfig"

> > > >

> > > > source "lib/Kconfig"

> > > > +

> > > > +source "kernel/bc/Kconfig"

> > > ...

> > > > --- ./arch/sparc64/Kconfig.arkcfg 2006-07-17 17:01:11.000000000 +0400

> > > > +++ ./arch/sparc64/Kconfig 2006-08-10 17:56:36.000000000 +0400

> > > > @@ -432,3 +432,5 @@ source "security/Kconfig"

> > > > source "crypto/Kconfig"

> > > >

> > > > source "lib/Kconfig"

> > > > +

> > > > +source "kernel/bc/Kconfig"

> > >

> > > Is it just me, or do these patches look a little funky? Looks like it

> > > is trying to patch the same thing into the same file, twice. Also, the

> > > patches look to be -p0 instead of -p1.

> >

> > They do appear to be -p0

> >

> > They aren't adding the same thing twice to the same file. This patch

> > makes different arches source the same Kconfig.

>

> Look again. There are 2 diffstat blocks and 2 of these at least:

>

> --- ./kernel/bc/Kconfig.bckm 2006-07-28 13:07:38.000000000 +0400

> +++ ./kernel/bc/Kconfig 2006-07-28 13:09:51.000000000 +0400

> @@ -0,0 +1,25 @@

>

>

> > I seem to recall Chandra suggested that instead of doing it this way it

> > would be more appropriate to add the source line to init/Kconfig because

> > it's more central and arch-independent. I tend to agree.

>

> ---

> ~Randy

My mistake, Dave is right.

Cheers,  
-Matt Helsley

---