
Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/6] BC: kconfig
Posted by [Matt Helsley](#) on Wed, 23 Aug 2006 22:27:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 2006-08-23 at 15:32 -0700, Randy.Dunlap wrote:
> On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 15:13:42 -0700 Matt Helsley wrote:
>
>> On Wed, 2006-08-23 at 15:04 -0700, Dave Hansen wrote:
>>> On Wed, 2006-08-23 at 15:01 +0400, Kirill Korotaev wrote:
>>>> --- ./arch/sparc64/Kconfig.arkcfg 2006-07-17 17:01:11.000000000 +0400
>>>> +++ ./arch/sparc64/Kconfig 2006-08-10 17:56:36.000000000 +0400
>>>> @@ -432,3 +432,5 @@ source "security/Kconfig"
>>>> source "crypto/Kconfig"
>>>>
>>>> source "lib/Kconfig"
>>>> +
>>>> +source "kernel/bc/Kconfig"
>>>> ...
>>>> --- ./arch/sparc64/Kconfig.arkcfg 2006-07-17 17:01:11.000000000 +0400
>>>> +++ ./arch/sparc64/Kconfig 2006-08-10 17:56:36.000000000 +0400
>>>> @@ -432,3 +432,5 @@ source "security/Kconfig"
>>>> source "crypto/Kconfig"
>>>>
>>>> source "lib/Kconfig"
>>>> +
>>>> +source "kernel/bc/Kconfig"
>>>>
>>> Is it just me, or do these patches look a little funky? Looks like it
>>> is trying to patch the same thing into the same file, twice. Also, the
>>> patches look to be -p0 instead of -p1.
>>
>> They do appear to be -p0
>>
>> They aren't adding the same thing twice to the same file. This patch
>> makes different arches source the same Kconfig.
>
> Look again. There are 2 diffstat blocks and 2 of these at least:
>
> --- ./kernel/bc/Kconfig.bckm 2006-07-28 13:07:38.000000000 +0400
> +++ ./kernel/bc/Kconfig 2006-07-28 13:09:51.000000000 +0400
> @@ -0,0 +1,25 @@
>
>
>> I seem to recall Chandra suggested that instead of doing it this way it
>> would be more appropriate to add the source line to init/Kconfig because
>> it's more central and arch-independent. I tend to agree.
>
> ---

> ~Randy

My mistake, Dave is right.

Cheers,
-Matt Helsley
