
Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/6] BC: kconfig
Posted by [rdunlap](#) on Wed, 23 Aug 2006 22:29:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 23 Aug 2006 15:13:42 -0700 Matt Helsley wrote:

```
> On Wed, 2006-08-23 at 15:04 -0700, Dave Hansen wrote:
> > On Wed, 2006-08-23 at 15:01 +0400, Kirill Korotaev wrote:
> > > --- ./arch/sparc64/Kconfig.arkcfg 2006-07-17 17:01:11.000000000 +0400
> > > +++ ./arch/sparc64/Kconfig 2006-08-10 17:56:36.000000000 +0400
> > > @@ -432,3 +432,5 @@ source "security/Kconfig"
> > > source "crypto/Kconfig"
> > >
> > > source "lib/Kconfig"
> > > +
> > > +source "kernel/bc/Kconfig"
> > ...
> > > --- ./arch/sparc64/Kconfig.arkcfg 2006-07-17 17:01:11.000000000 +0400
> > > +++ ./arch/sparc64/Kconfig 2006-08-10 17:56:36.000000000 +0400
> > > @@ -432,3 +432,5 @@ source "security/Kconfig"
> > > source "crypto/Kconfig"
> > >
> > > source "lib/Kconfig"
> > > +
> > > +source "kernel/bc/Kconfig"
> >
> > Is it just me, or do these patches look a little funky? Looks like it
> > is trying to patch the same thing into the same file, twice. Also, the
> > patches look to be -p0 instead of -p1.
>
> They do appear to be -p0
>
> They aren't adding the same thing twice to the same file. This patch
> makes different arches source the same Kconfig.
```

Look again. There are 2 diffstat blocks and 2 of these at least:

```
--- ./kernel/bc/Kconfig.bckm 2006-07-28 13:07:38.000000000 +0400
+++ ./kernel/bc/Kconfig 2006-07-28 13:09:51.000000000 +0400
@@ -0,0 +1,25 @@
```

```
> I seem to recall Chandra suggested that instead of doing it this way it
> would be more appropriate to add the source line to init/Kconfig because
> it's more central and arch-independent. I tend to agree.
```

```
---
~Randy
```
