## Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [RFC][PATCH 3/7] UBC: ub context and inheritance Posted by Chandra Seetharaman on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 20:48:21 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Mon, 2006-08-21 at 14:32 +0400, Kirill Korotaev wrote:
> Chandra Seetharaman wrote:
> > On Wed, 2006-08-16 at 19:38 +0400, Kirill Korotaev wrote:
> >
>>>Contains code responsible for setting UB on task,
> >>it's inheriting and setting host context in interrupts.
> >>
>>>Task references three beancounters:
>>> 1. exec ub current context. all resources are
             charged to this beancounter.
>>> 2. task_ub beancounter to which task_struct is
             charged itself.
> >
>> I do not see why task ub is needed? i do not see it being used
> > anywhere.
> it is used to charge task itself. will be heavily used in next patch set
> adding "numproc" UBC parameter.
Well, from your other responses it sounded like you are including
code/data structure/functionality only when they are used. So, I wasn't
clear if this is missed out on cleanup or really part of UBC.
Besides, if this is needed only for a specific controller, shouldn't the
controller worry about maintaining it?
>>> 3. fork_sub beancounter which is inherited by
             task's children on fork
> >>
> >
> >
>>>From other emails it looks like renaming fork/exec to be real/effective
> > will be easier to understand.
> there is no "real". exec ub is effective indeed,
> but fork_sub is the one to inherit on fork().
IMO, fork cb/exec cb doesn't convey the real meaning of the usage.
> Kirill
>
```

> Get stuff done quickly with pre-integrated technology to make your job easier

>	nd=Ink&kid=120709&b id=263057&dat=121642
<pre>&gt; ckrm-tech mailing list &gt; https://lists.sourceforge.net/lists/</pre>	listinfo/ckrm-tech
Chandra Seetharaman - sekharan@us.ibm.com	Be careful what you choose