Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH 4/7] UBC: syscalls (user interface) Posted by Magnus Damm on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 02:47:06 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Fri, 2006-08-18 at 07:45 -0700, Dave Hansen wrote:

- > On Fri, 2006-08-18 at 12:08 +0400, Andrey Savochkin wrote:
- > >
- > > A) Have separate memory management for each container,
- >> with separate buddy allocator, Iru lists, page replacement mechanism.
- >> That implies a considerable overhead, and the main challenge there
- >> is sharing of pages between these separate memory managers.
- >
- > Hold on here for just a sec...

>

- > It is quite possible to do memory management aimed at one container
- > while that container's memory still participates in the main VM.

>

- > There is overhead here, as the LRU scanning mechanisms get less
- > efficient, but I'd rather pay a penalty at LRU scanning time than divide
- > up the VM, or coarsely start failing allocations.

This could of course be solved with one LRU per container, which is how the CKRM memory controller implemented things about a year ago.

/ magnus