

---

Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH 4/7] UBC: syscalls (user interface)  
Posted by [Chandra Seetharaman](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 19:48:24 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Fri, 2006-08-18 at 11:56 -0700, Paul Jackson wrote:

> Chandra wrote:

> > In order to minimize this effect, resource controllers should be  
> > providing both minimum and maximum amount of resources available for a  
> > resource group.

>

> No - not "should be." Rather "could also be."

>

> The fair sharing model (such as in CKRM) that strives for maximum  
> utilization of resources respecting priorities and min/max limits is  
> (I suppose) quite useful for certain workloads and customers.

>

> The hardwall NUMA placement model (such as in cpusets) that strives  
> for maximum processor and memory isolation between jobs, preferring  
> to leave allocated resources unused rather than trying to share them,  
> is also quite useful for some. Customers with 256 thread, one or  
> two day long run time, -very- tightly coupled huge OpenMP Fortran  
> jobs that need to complete within a few percent of the same time,  
> every runtime, demand it.

>

> Don't presume that fair sharing -should- always be preferred to  
> hardwall NUMA placement.

Not at all. During our prior discussions on the topic we have concluded  
that resource management (CKRM\b\b\b\bResource Groups, UBC) and resource  
isolation (cpuset) are totally orthogonal and have their own values from  
the customer point of view. I still stand by that :).

>

> Just not so.

>

> Besides -- what benefit would CKRM gain from Andrew's latest  
> brainstorm? Doesn't CKRM already have whatever means it needs to  
> define and share pools of memory?

Yes, we do. That implementation is deemed complex, intrusive and it also  
increases maintenance burden. So, the object here (in this thread) is to  
get a simple memory controller that does resource management (not  
resource isolation).

>

--

-----

Chandra Seetharaman | Be careful what you choose....  
- sekharan@us.ibm.com | .....you may get it.

---