
Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH 2/7] UBC: core (structures, API)

Posted by [dev](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 11:50:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Matt Helsley wrote:

> On Fri, 2006-08-18 at 11:35 +0400, Andrey Savochkin wrote:

>

>>On Thu, Aug 17, 2006 at 10:31:37PM -0700, Andrew Morton wrote:

>>

>>>On Thu, 17 Aug 2006 15:53:40 +0400

>>>Kirill Korotaev <dev@sw.ru> wrote:

>>>

>>>

>>>>>+struct user_beancounter

>>>>>+{

>>>>>+ atomic_t ub_refcount;

>>>>>+ spinlock_t ub_lock;

>>>>>+ uid_t ub_uid;

>>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>Why uid? Will it be possible to club processes belonging to different

>>>>>users to same bean counter.

>>>>>

>>>>>oh, its a misname. Should be ub_id. it is ID of user_beancounter

>>>>>and has nothing to do with user id.

>>>>>

>>>>>But it uses a uid_t. That's more than a misnaming?

>>

>>It used to be uid-related in ancient times when the notion of container

>>hadn't formed up.

>>"user" part of user_beancounter name has the same origin :)

>

>

> Is it similarly irrelevant now? If so perhaps a big rename could be used

> to make the names clearer (s/user_//, s/ub_/bc_/, ...).

hm... let's try :)

Kirill
