
Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [RFC][PATCH 5/7] UBC: kernel memory accounting (core)
Posted by [dev](#) on Fri, 18 Aug 2006 08:52:46 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Rohit Seth wrote:

> On Thu, 2006-08-17 at 10:23 -0700, Dave Hansen wrote:

>

>>On Thu, 2006-08-17 at 10:16 -0700, Rohit Seth wrote:

>>

>>>>That said, it sure is simpler to implement, so I'm all for it!

>>>

>>>hmm, not sure why it is simpler.

>>

>>When you ask the question, "which container owns this page?", you don't

>>have to look far,

>

>

> as in page->mapping->container for user land?

in case of anon_vma, page->mapping can be the same
for 2 pages belonging to different containers.

>>nor is it ambiguous in any way. It is very strict,

>>and very straightforward.

>

> What additional ambiguity you have when inode or task structures have
> the required information.

inodes can belong to multiple containers and so do the pages.

Kirill
