Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [RFC][PATCH 5/7] UBC: kernel memory accounting (core)
Posted by Rohit Seth on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 17:36:38 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Thu, 2006-08-17 at 10:23 -0700, Dave Hansen wrote:
> On Thu, 2006-08-17 at 10:16 -0700, Rohit Seth wrote:
> > > That said, it sure is simpler to implement, so I'm all for it!
> >
> > hmm, not sure why it is simpler.
>
> When you ask the question, "which container owns this page?", you don't
> have to look far,

as in page->mapping->container for user land?

> nor is it ambiguous in any way. It is very strict,
> and very straightforward.

What additional ambiguity you have when inode or task structures have
the required information.

-rohit