

---

Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 5/7] UBC: kernel memory accounting (core)

Posted by [Rohit Seth](#) on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 17:02:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu, 2006-08-17 at 17:27 +0400, Kirill Korotaev wrote:

> > If I'm reading this patch right then seems like you are making page  
> > allocations to fail w/o (for example) trying to purge some pages from  
> > the page cache belonging to this container. Or is that reclaim going to  
> > come later?  
>  
> charged kernel objects can't be \_reclaimed\_. how do you propose  
> to reclaim tasks page tables or files or task struct or vma or etc.?

I agree that kernel objects can't be reclaimed easily. But what you are proposing is also not right. Returning failure w/o doing any reclaim on pages (that are reclaimable) is not useful. And this is why I asked, is this change going to be part of next set of patches (as current set of patches are only tracking kernel usage).

-rohit

---