Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [RFC][PATCH 5/7] UBC: kernel memory accounting (core) Posted by Rik van Riel on Thu, 17 Aug 2006 15:19:11 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dave Hansen wrote:

- > My main thought is that _everybody_ is going to have to live with the
- > entry in the 'struct page'. Distros ship one kernel for everybody, and
- > the cost will be paid by those not even using any kind of resource
- > control or containers.

Every userspace or page cache page will be in an object though. Could we do the pointer on a per object (mapping, anon vma, ...) basis?

Kernel pages are not using all of their struct page entries, so we could overload a field.

It all depends on how much we really care about not growing struct page :)

--

What is important? What you want to be true, or what is true?