
Subject: Re: Future of OpenVZ and ploop?

Posted by [vztester](#) on Wed, 13 Aug 2014 21:13:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Ales wrote on Fri, 18 April 2014 05:40 Nothing like a good rant, huh?

But how does vzctl 4.7 force you into anything? Simply change the VE_LAYOUT variable in /etc/vz/vz.conf back to simfs to make simfs default again, or use the command line switch. Try looking at 'man vzctl'.

Ploop or no ploop, comparing OpenVZ (containers) with VMWare or KVM (full virtualization) is a bit like comparing a rally car with a 12-ton dump truck.

There are things you can't haul with a rally car. There are things you shouldn't do with a dump truck if you have a rally car available. That's about the jist of it. I fail to see how ploop (or simfs, for that matter) changes that.

Hello Ales

I don't think my OP was a rant but rather an observation and comment from a user perspective

Thanks I didn't realize it was that simple as editing vz.conf but I think the fact that it changes the configuration is forcing it on the user/not good either way when it breaks existing simfs containers instantly. I doubt it's intended but there have been some growing pains I've observed with vzctl that others have encountered too.

I get what you're saying about the differences with virtualization but I really prefer simfs for development and fast deployment. If I were to use a flat file or LVM I'd only use KVM (Xen users will hate me but I don't see the point of Xen when there is KVM). It comes down to practical use and what works best for the user I guess and everyone has a different need.

With the above said it bugs me that many have stopped using OpenVZ, I think it's the best way to go because I still find it faster and easier out of the box compared to anything else (my vz containers have been more reliable than any other virtualization).
