
Subject: Re: Re: [Vserver] VServer vs OpenVZ
Posted by [TheWiseOne](#) on Sun, 11 Dec 2005 20:09:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> The kernel caches based on inode number. If you modified the caching
> part of the module then I may be incorrect in my thinking. Take example:
>

```
> # ls -ai /vz/private/1/root/bin/ls  
> 41361462 /vz/private/1/root/bin/ls  
> # ls -ai /vz/template/redhat-as3-minimal/coreutils-4.5.3-26/bin/ls  
> 1998864 /vz/template/redhat-as3-minimal/coreutils-4.5.3-26/bin/ls  
> # ls -ai /vz/root/1/bin/ls  
> 41361462 /vz/root/1/bin/ls  
>
```

This may actually be an error. This new info was on a linked file
(using templates). I tried on a VPS that has broken the link and found
this:

```
# ls -ali /vz/private/101/root/bin/ls  
245325878 -rwxr-xr-x  1 root  root    67700 Sep 29 11:42  
/vz/private/101/root/bin/ls  
# ls -ali /vz/root/101/bin/ls  
245325878 -rwxr-xr-x  1 root  root    67700 Sep 29 11:42  
/vz/root/101/bin/ls
```

Or - the third thought is that we are better off not using the CoW/vzfs
part of Virtuozzo at all and OpenVZ is a more scalable alternative to
Virtuozzo.
