
Subject: Re: [PATCH v5] posix timers: allocate timer id per process
Posted by [Thomas Gleixner](#) on Tue, 23 Oct 2012 22:33:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 23 Oct 2012, Eric Dumazet wrote:

> On Tue, 2012-10-23 at 23:47 +0200, Thomas Gleixner wrote:
>
> > Not so good to me.
> >
> > > Signed-off-by: Eric Dumazet <edumazet@google.com>
> >
> > And that should be either an Acked-by or a Reviewed-by. You can't sign
> > off on patches which have not been submitted or transported by you.
>
> I actually gave some input, provided a hash function, and so on.
>
> So this SOB was valid. I do that all the time.

Not really. I recommend you to read the relevant file in Documentation which covers what can have your SOB.

Your input is documented in the mail thread, but it does not contain a patch - which is Signed-off-by YOU - on which the thing at hand is based on. So it's not covered by what SOB actually means.

You can rightfully request the patch author to add a "Suggested-by" tag, but you can't rightfully claim authorship of something you did not author.

> And yes, there are bugs in this patch, as many patches that were merged
> in linux tree, included by you.

That's a totally different issue. We can ack/review/signoff and commit totally bogus patches as long as we want.

Though that does not change the meanings of the tags (Acked, Reviewed, Signed-off) at all.

Thanks,

tglx
