

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH v5 06/14] memcg: kmem controller infrastructure  
Posted by [David Rientjes](#) on Thu, 18 Oct 2012 22:06:54 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu, 18 Oct 2012, Glauber Costa wrote:

> > Do we actually need to test PF\_KTHREAD when current->mm == NULL?  
> > Perhaps because of aio threads which temporarily adopt a userspace mm?  
>  
> I believe so. I remember I discussed this in the past with David  
> Rientjes and he advised me to test for both.  
>

PF\_KTHREAD can do use\_mm() to assume an ->mm but hopefully they aren't allocating slab while doing so. Have you considered actually charging current->mm->owner for that memory, though, since the kthread will have freed the memory before unuse\_mm() or otherwise have charged it on behalf of a user process, i.e. only exempting PF\_KTHREAD?

---