## Subject: Re: [PATCH RFC] sched: boost throttled entities on wakeups Posted by Vladimir Davydov on Thu, 18 Oct 2012 10:39:01 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

There is an error in the test script: I forgot to initialize cpuset.mems of test cgroups - without it it is impossible to add a task into a cpuset cgroup.

Sorry for that.

Fixed version of the test script is attached.

On Oct 18, 2012, at 11:32 AM, Vladimir Davydov wrote:

```
> If several tasks in different cpu cgroups are contending for the same resource
```

- > (e.g. a semaphore) and one of those task groups is cpu limited (using cfs
- > bandwidth control), the priority inversion problem is likely to arise: if a cpu
- > limited task goes to sleep holding the resource (e.g. trying to take another
- > semaphore), it can be throttled (i.e. removed from the runqueue), which will
- > result in other, perhaps high-priority, tasks waiting until the low-priority
- > task continues its execution.
- > The patch tries to solve this problem by boosting tasks in throttled groups on
- > wakeups, i.e. temporarily unthrottling the groups a woken task belongs to in
- > order to let the task finish its execution in kernel space. This obviously
- > should eliminate the priority inversion problem on voluntary preemptable
- > kernels. However, it does not solve the problem for fully preemptable kernels,
- > although I guess the patch can be extended to handle those kernels too (e.g. by
- > boosting forcibly preempted tasks thus not allowing to throttle).
- > I wrote a simple test that demonstrates the problem (the test is attached). It
- > creates two cgroups each of which is bound to exactly one cpu using cpusets,
- > sets the limit of the first group to 10% and leaves the second group unlimited.
- > Then in both groups it starts processes reading the same (big enough) file
- > along with a couple of busyloops in the limited groups, and measures the read
- > time.

>

- > I've run the test 10 times for a 1 Gb file on a server with > 10 Gb of RAM and
- > 4 cores x 2 hyperthreads (the kernel was with CONFIG PREEMPT VOLUNTARY=y). Here
- > are the results:
- > without the patch 40.03 +- 7.04 s
- > with the patch 8.42 +- 0.48 s
- > (Since the server's RAM can accommodate the whole file, the read time was the
- > same for both groups)
- > I would appreciate if you could answer the following questions regarding the
- > priority inversion problem and the proposed approach:

```
> 1) Do you agree that the problem exists and should be sorted out?
> 2) If so, does the general approach proposed (unthrottling on wakeups) suits
> you? Why or why not?
> 3) If you think that the approach proposed is sane, what you dislike about the
> patch?
> Thank you!
>
> include/linux/sched.h |
> kernel/sched/core.c
                       8++
> kernel/sched/fair.c
                  > kernel/sched/features.h | 2 +
> kernel/sched/sched.h
                        6++
> 5 files changed, 204 insertions(+), 2 deletions(-)
> <sched-boost-throttled-entities-on-wakeups.patch><ioprio_inv_test.sh ><ATT00001.c>
File Attachments
1) ioprio_inv_test.sh, downloaded 1509 times
```