

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH v5 06/14] memcg: kmem controller infrastructure

Posted by [akpm](#) on Wed, 17 Oct 2012 22:12:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Tue, 16 Oct 2012 14:16:43 +0400

Glauber Costa <[glommer@parallels.com](mailto:glommer@parallels.com)> wrote:

```
> This patch introduces infrastructure for tracking kernel memory pages to
> a given memcg. This will happen whenever the caller includes the flag
> __GFP_KMEMCG flag, and the task belong to a memcg other than the root.
>
> In memcontrol.h those functions are wrapped in inline acessors. The
> idea is to later on, patch those with static branches, so we don't incur
> any overhead when no mem cgroups with limited kmem are being used.
>
> Users of this functionality shall interact with the memcg core code
> through the following functions:
>
> memcg_kmem_newpage_charge: will return true if the group can handle the
>                          allocation. At this point, struct page is not
>                          yet allocated.
>
> memcg_kmem_commit_charge: will either revert the charge, if struct page
>                          allocation failed, or embed memcg information
>                          into page_cgroup.
>
> memcg_kmem_uncharge_page: called at free time, will revert the charge.
>
> ...
>
> +static __always_inline bool
> +memcg_kmem_newpage_charge(gfp_t gfp, struct mem_cgroup **memcg, int order)
> +{
> + if (!memcg_kmem_enabled())
> + return true;
> +
> + /*
> + * __GFP_NOFAIL allocations will move on even if charging is not
> + * possible. Therefore we don't even try, and have this allocation
> + * unaccounted. We could in theory charge it with
> + * res_counter_charge_nofail, but we hope those allocations are rare,
> + * and won't be worth the trouble.
> + */
> + if (!(gfp & __GFP_KMEMCG) || (gfp & __GFP_NOFAIL))
> + return true;
> + if (in_interrupt() || (!current->mm) || (current->flags & PF_KTHREAD))
> + return true;
> +
```

```

> + /* If the test is dying, just let it go. */
> +     if (unlikely(test_thread_flag(TIF_MEMDIE)
> +         || fatal_signal_pending(current)))
> + return true;
> +
> + return __memcg_kmem_newpage_charge(gfp, memcg, order);
> +}

```

That's a big function! Why was it `__always_inline`? I'd have thought it would be better to move the code after `memcg_kmem_enabled()` out of line.

Do we actually need to test `PF_KTHREAD` when `current->mm == NULL`? Perhaps because of aio threads which temporarily adopt a userspace mm?

```

> +/**
> + * memcg_kmem_uncharge_page: uncharge pages from memcg
> + * @page: pointer to struct page being freed
> + * @order: allocation order.
> + *
> + * there is no need to specify memcg here, since it is embedded in page_cgroup
> + */
> +static __always_inline void
> +memcg_kmem_uncharge_page(struct page *page, int order)
> +{
> + if (memcg_kmem_enabled())
> + __memcg_kmem_uncharge_page(page, order);
> +}
> +
> +/**
> + * memcg_kmem_commit_charge: embeds correct memcg in a page
> + * @page: pointer to struct page recently allocated
> + * @memcg: the memcg structure we charged against
> + * @order: allocation order.
> + *
> + * Needs to be called after memcg_kmem_newpage_charge, regardless of success or
> + * failure of the allocation. if @page is NULL, this function will revert the
> + * charges. Otherwise, it will commit the memcg given by @memcg to the
> + * corresponding page_cgroup.
> + */
> +static __always_inline void
> +memcg_kmem_commit_charge(struct page *page, struct mem_cgroup *memcg, int order)
> +{
> + if (memcg_kmem_enabled() && memcg)
> + __memcg_kmem_commit_charge(page, memcg, order);
> +}

```

I suspect the `__always_inline`'s here are to do with static branch

trickery. A code comment is warranted if so?

---