## Subject: Re: [PATCH v5 06/14] memcg: kmem controller infrastructure Posted by akpm on Wed, 17 Oct 2012 22:12:14 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tue, 16 Oct 2012 14:16:43 +0400

Glauber Costa <glommer@parallels.com> wrote: > This patch introduces infrastructure for tracking kernel memory pages to > a given memcg. This will happen whenever the caller includes the flag > GFP KMEMCG flag, and the task belong to a memcg other than the root. > > In memcontrol.h those functions are wrapped in inline acessors. The > idea is to later on, patch those with static branches, so we don't incur > any overhead when no mem cgroups with limited kmem are being used. > Users of this functionality shall interact with the memcg core code > through the following functions: > memcg kmem newpage charge: will return true if the group can handle the allocation. At this point, struct page is not > yet allocated. > > > memcg\_kmem\_commit\_charge: will either revert the charge, if struct page allocation failed, or embed memcg information > > into page\_cgroup. > > memcg\_kmem\_uncharge\_page: called at free time, will revert the charge. > ... > > +static always inline bool > +memcg\_kmem\_newpage\_charge(gfp\_t gfp, struct mem\_cgroup \*\*memcg, int order) > +{ > + if (!memcg\_kmem\_enabled()) > + return true; > + > + /\*> + \* GFP NOFAIL allocations will move on even if charging is not > + \* possible. Therefore we don't even try, and have this allocation > + \* unaccounted. We could in theory charge it with > + \* res counter charge nofail, but we hope those allocations are rare, > + \* and won't be worth the trouble. > + if (!(gfp & \_\_GFP\_KMEMCG) || (gfp & \_\_GFP\_NOFAIL)) > + return true; > + if (in\_interrupt() || (!current->mm) || (current->flags & PF\_KTHREAD)) > + return true; > +

```
> + /* If the test is dying, just let it go. */
       if (unlikely(test thread flag(TIF MEMDIE)
               || fatal_signal_pending(current)))
> +
> + return true;
> + return __memcg_kmem_newpage_charge(gfp, memcg, order);
> +}
That's a big function! Why was it always inline? I'd have thought
it would be better to move the code after memcg kmem enabled() out of
line.
Do we actually need to test PF_KTHREAD when current->mm == NULL?
Perhaps because of aio threads which temporarily adopt a userspace mm?
> +/**
> + * memcg kmem uncharge page: uncharge pages from memcg
> + * @page: pointer to struct page being freed
> + * @order: allocation order.
> + * there is no need to specify memcg here, since it is embedded in page cgroup
> +static always inline void
> +memcg_kmem_uncharge_page(struct page *page, int order)
> +{
> + if (memcg kmem enabled())
> + __memcg_kmem_uncharge_page(page, order);
> +}
> +
> + * memcg kmem commit charge: embeds correct memcg in a page
> + * @page: pointer to struct page recently allocated
> + * @memcg: the memcg structure we charged against
> + * @order: allocation order.
> + *
> + * Needs to be called after memcg kmem newpage charge, regardless of success or
> + * failure of the allocation. if @page is NULL, this function will revert the
> + * charges. Otherwise, it will commit the memcg given by @memcg to the
> + * corresponding page cgroup.
> + */
> +static always inline void
> +memcg_kmem_commit_charge(struct page *page, struct mem_cgroup *memcg, int order)
> + if (memcg_kmem_enabled() && memcg)
> + __memcg_kmem_commit_charge(page, memcg, order);
> +}
```

I suspect the always inline's here are to do with static branch

Page 3 of 3 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum