Subject: Re: [PATCH v5 04/14] kmem accounting basic infrastructure
Posted by akpm on Wed, 17 Oct 2012 22:12:07 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tue, 16 Oct 2012 14:16:41 +0400
Glauber Costa <glommer@parallels.com> wrote:

> This patch adds the basic infrastructure for the accounting of kernel
> memory. To control that, the following files are created:
>
> * memory.kmem.usage_in_bytes
> * memory.kmem.limit_in_bytes
> * memory.kmem.failcnt

gargh. "failcnt" is not a word. Who was it who first thought that
omitting voewls from words improves anything?

Sigh. That pooch is already screwed and there's nothing we can do
about it now.

> * memory.kmem.max_usage_in_bytes
>
> They have the same meaning of their user memory counterparts. They
> reflect the state of the "kmem" res_counter.
>
> Per cgroup kmem memory accounting is not enabled until a limit is set
> for the group. Once the limit is set the accounting cannot be disabled
> for that group. This means that after the patch is applied, no
> behavioral changes exists for whoever is still using memcg to control
> their memory usage, until memory.kmem.limit_in_bytes is set for the
> first time.
>
> We always account to both user and kernel resource_counters. This
> effectively means that an independent kernel limit is in place when the
> limit is set to a lower value than the user memory. A equal or higher
> value means that the user limit will always hit first, meaning that kmem
> is effectively unlimited.
>
> People who want to track kernel memory but not limit it, can set this
> limit to a very high number (like RESOURCE_MAX - 1page - that no one
> will ever hit, or equal to the user memory)
>
>
> ...
>
> +/* internal only representation about the status of kmem accounting. */
> +enum {
> + KMEM_ACCOUNTED_ACTIVE = 0, /* accounted by this cgroup itself */

> +};
> +
> +#define KMEM_ACCOUNTED_MASK (1 << KMEM_ACCOUNTED_ACTIVE)
> +
> +#ifdef CONFIG_MEMCG_KMEM
> +static void memcg_kmem_set_active(struct mem_cgroup *memcg)
> +{
> + set_bit(KMEM_ACCOUNTED_ACTIVE, &memcg->kmem_accounted);
> +}
> +#endif

I don't think memcg_kmem_set_active() really needs to exist. It has a single caller and is unlikely to get any additional callers, so just open-code it there?
