
Subject: Re: [PATCH v5 14/14] Add documentation about the kmem controller
Posted by [Christoph Lameter](#) on Tue, 16 Oct 2012 18:25:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 16 Oct 2012, Glauber Costa wrote:

```
>  
> + memory.kmem.limit_in_bytes    # set/show hard limit for kernel memory  
> + memory.kmem.usage_in_bytes    # show current kernel memory allocation  
> + memory.kmem.failcnt           # show the number of kernel memory usage hits limits  
> + memory.kmem.max_usage_in_bytes # show max kernel memory usage recorded
```

Does it actually make sense to limit kernel memory? The user generally has no idea how much kernel memory a process is using and kernel changes can change the memory footprint. Given the fuzzy accounting in the kernel a large cache refill (if someone configures the slab batch count to be really big f.e.) can account a lot of memory to the wrong cgroup. The allocation could fail.

Limiting the total memory use of a process (U+K) would make more sense I guess. Only U is probably sufficient? In what way would a limitation on kernel memory in use be good?
