Subject: Re: [PATCH v4 09/14] memcg: kmem accounting lifecycle management Posted by Michal Hocko on Thu, 11 Oct 2012 13:11:43 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Mon 08-10-12 14:06:15, Glauber Costa wrote:

- > Because kmem charges can outlive the cgroup, we need to make sure that
- > we won't free the memcg structure while charges are still in flight.
- > For reviewing simplicity, the charge functions will issue
- > mem_cgroup_get() at every charge, and mem_cgroup_put() at every

> uncharge.

>

- > This can get expensive, however, and we can do better. mem_cgroup_get()
- > only really needs to be issued once: when the first limit is set. In the
- > same spirit, we only need to issue mem_cgroup_put() when the last charge > is gone.

>

- > We'll need an extra bit in kmem_accounted for that: KMEM_ACCOUNTED_DEAD.
- > it will be set when the cgroup dies, if there are charges in the group.
- > If there aren't, we can proceed right away.

>

- > Our uncharge function will have to test that bit every time the charges
- > drop to 0. Because that is not the likely output of
- > res_counter_uncharge, this should not impose a big hit on us: it is
- > certainly much better than a reference count decrease at every

> operation.

- >
- > [v3: merged all lifecycle related patches in one]

>

- > Signed-off-by: Glauber Costa <glommer@parallels.com>
- > CC: Kamezawa Hiroyuki <kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>
- > CC: Christoph Lameter <cl@linux.com>
- > CC: Pekka Enberg <penberg@cs.helsinki.fi>
- > CC: Michal Hocko <mhocko@suse.cz>
- > CC: Johannes Weiner <hannes@cmpxchg.org>
- > CC: Suleiman Souhlal <suleiman@google.com>

OK, I like the optimization. I have just one comment to the memcg_kmem_dead naming but other than that

Acked-by: Michal Hocko <mhocko@suse.cz>

[...]

> +static bool memcg_kmem_dead(struct mem_cgroup *memcg)

The name is tricky because it doesn't tell you that it clears the flag which made me scratch my head when reading comment in kmem_cgroup_destroy

> +{

> + return test_and_clear_bit(KMEM_ACCOUNTED_DEAD, &memcg->kmem_accounted);

> +} > #endif > > /* Stuffs for move charges at task migration. */ [...] > @ @ -4876,6 +4904,20 @ @ static int memcg_init_kmem(struct mem_cgroup *memcg, struct cgroup_subsys *ss) > static void kmem_cgroup_destroy(struct mem_cgroup *memcg) > { > mem_cgroup_sockets_destroy(memcg); > + > + memcg_kmem_mark_dead(memcg); > + > + if (res_counter_read_u64(&memcg->kmem, RES_USAGE) != 0) > + return; > + > + /* > + * Charges already down to 0, undo mem_cgroup_get() done in the charge > + * path here, being careful not to race with memcg_uncharge_kmem: it is > + * possible that the charges went down to 0 between mark_dead and the > + * res counter read, so in that case, we don't need the put > + */ > + if (memcg_kmem_dead(memcg)) > + mem_cgroup_put(memcg);

Michal Hocko SUSE Labs

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum