

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH v4 08/14] res\_counter: return amount of charges after res\_counter\_uncharge

Posted by [Glauber Costa](#) on Tue, 09 Oct 2012 15:14:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 10/09/2012 07:08 PM, Michal Hocko wrote:

> As I have already mentioned in my previous feedback this is certainly not  
> atomic as you the lock protects only one group in the hierarchy. How is  
> the return value from this function supposed to be used?

So, I tried to make that clearer in the updated changelog.

Only the value of the base memcg (the one passed to the function) is returned, and it is atomic, in the sense that it has the same semantics as the atomic variables: If 2 threads uncharge 4k each from a 8 k counter, a subsequent read can return 0 for both. The return value here will guarantee that only one sees the drop to 0.

This is used in the patch "kmem\_accounting lifecycle management" to be sure that only one process will call mem\_cgroup\_put() in the memcg structure.

---