Subject: [PATCH v4 11/14] memcg: allow a memcg with kmem charges to be destructed.

Posted by Glauber Costa on Mon, 08 Oct 2012 10:06:17 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Because the ultimate goal of the kmem tracking in memcg is to track slab pages as well, we can't guarantee that we'll always be able to point a page to a particular process, and migrate the charges along with it - since in the common case, a page will contain data belonging to multiple processes.

Because of that, when we destroy a memcg, we only make sure the destruction will succeed by discounting the kmem charges from the user charges when we try to empty the cgroup.

```
Signed-off-by: Glauber Costa <glommer@parallels.com>
Acked-by: Kamezawa Hiroyuki <kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>
Reviewed-by: Michal Hocko <mhocko@suse.cz>
CC: Christoph Lameter <cl@linux.com>
CC: Pekka Enberg <penberg@cs.helsinki.fi>
CC: Johannes Weiner <hannes@cmpxchg.org>
CC: Suleiman Souhlal <suleiman@google.com>
mm/memcontrol.c | 17 +++++++++++++
1 file changed, 16 insertions(+), 1 deletion(-)
diff --git a/mm/memcontrol.c b/mm/memcontrol.c
index 724a08b..2f92f89 100644
--- a/mm/memcontrol.c
+++ b/mm/memcontrol.c
@@ -546,6 +546,11 @@ static void disarm kmem keys(struct mem cgroup *memcg)
{
 if (memcg_kmem_is_active(memcg))
 static_key_slow_dec(&memcg_kmem_enabled_key);
+ /*
+ * This check can't live in kmem destruction function.
+ * since the charges will outlive the cgroup
+ */
+ WARN ON(res counter read u64(&memcg->kmem, RES USAGE)!= 0);
#else
static void disarm_kmem_keys(struct mem_cgroup *memcg)
@ @ -3994,6 +3999,7 @ @ static int mem_cgroup_force_empty(struct mem_cgroup *memcg, bool
free_all)
 int node, zid, shrink;
 int nr_retries = MEM_CGROUP_RECLAIM_RETRIES;
 struct cgroup *cgrp = memcg->css.cgroup;
+ u64 usage;
```

```
css_get(&memcg->css);
@ @ -4027,8 +4033,17 @ @ move_account:
 mem_cgroup_end_move(memcg);
 memcg_oom_recover(memcg);
 cond_resched();
+ /*
 * Kernel memory may not necessarily be trackable to a specific
 * process. So they are not migrated, and therefore we can't
  * expect their value to drop to 0 here.
  * having res filled up with kmem only is enough
+ usage = res_counter_read_u64(&memcg->res, RES_USAGE) -
+ res_counter_read_u64(&memcg->kmem, RES_USAGE);
 /* "ret" should also be checked to ensure all lists are empty. */
- } while (res_counter_read_u64(&memcg->res, RES_USAGE) > 0 || ret);
+ } while (usage > 0 || ret);
out:
 css_put(&memcg->css);
 return ret;
1.7.11.4
```