## Subject: Re: [PATCH v3 04/13] kmem accounting basic infrastructure Posted by Tejun Heo on Sat, 06 Oct 2012 02:19:24 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello, Glauber.

On Thu, Oct 04, 2012 at 03:55:14PM +0400, Glauber Costa wrote:

- > I don't want to bloat unrelated kmem\_cache structures, so I can't embed
- > a memcg array in there: I would have to have a pointer to a memcg array
- > that gets assigned at first use. But if we don't want to have a static
- > number, as you and christoph already frowned upon heavily, we may have
- > to do that memcg side as well.

>

- > The array gets bigger, though, because it pretty much has to be enough
- > to accomodate all css\_ids. Even now, they are more than the 400 I used
- > in this patchset. Not allocating all of them at once will lead to more
- > complication and pointer chasing in here.

I don't think it would require more pointer chasing. At the simplest, we can just compare the array size each time. If you wanna be more efficient, all arrays can be kept at the same size and resized when the number of memcgs cross the current number. The only runtime overhead would be one pointer deref which I don't think can be avoided regardless of the indexing direction.

Thanks.		
tejun		