Subject: Re: [PATCH v3 09/13] memcg: kmem accounting lifecycle management Posted by Glauber Costa on Mon, 01 Oct 2012 12:43:05 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 10/01/2012 04:36 PM, Michal Hocko wrote:

> On Mon 01-10-12 16:29:11, Glauber Costa wrote:

>> On 10/01/2012 04:15 PM, Michal Hocko wrote:

>>> Based on the previous discussions I guess this one will get reworked,

>>> right?

>>>

>> Yes, but most of it stayed. The hierarchy part is gone, but because we

>> will still have kmem pages floating around (potentially), I am still

>> using the mark\_dead() trick with the corresponding get when kmem\_accounted.

> Is it OK if I hold on with the review of this one until the next

> version?

> Of course.

I haven't sent it yet because I also received a lot more feedback for the slab part (which is expected), and I want to get a least part of that going before I send it again.