Subject: libcgroup and RHEL/CentOS/SL 6
Posted by LightDot on Sun, 30 Sep 2012 01:03:31 GMT

Just a heads up to all whom this might concern - libcgroup from openvz
repo is now replacing the original libcgroup on RHEL 6.

This kind of invasive OS changes should be announced somewhere,
really. Just a silent drop in the repo isn't a good way to introduce
such packages.

Is there a specific reason for this upgrade? I know libcgroup is being
provided for RHEL 5, but why is it now also replacing the existing
RHEL 6 package? Is there a specific functionality that's missing in
the original?

Wouldn't perhaps a separate RHEL 5 / 6 repo be the right thing to do
here in the first place? So ploop on RHEL 5 could perhaps be
obsoleted, libcgroup properly handled, etc...?