
Subject: Re: [PATCH v3 04/13] kmem accounting basic infrastructure

Posted by [Michal Hocko](#) on Wed, 26 Sep 2012 16:01:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed 26-09-12 18:33:10, Glauber Costa wrote:

> On 09/26/2012 06:03 PM, Michal Hocko wrote:

> > On Tue 18-09-12 18:04:01, Glauber Costa wrote:

[...]

> > @@ -4961,6 +5015,12 @@ mem_cgroup_create(struct cgroup *cont)

> > int cpu;

> > enable_swap_cgroup();

> > parent = NULL;

> > +

> > + #ifdef CONFIG_MEMCG_KMEM

> > + WARN_ON(cgroup_add_cftypes(&mem_cgroup_subsys,

> > + kmem_cgroup_files));

> > + #endif

> > +

> > if (mem_cgroup_soft_limit_tree_init())

> > goto free_out;

> > root_mem_cgroup = memcg;

> > @@ -4979,6 +5039,7 @@ mem_cgroup_create(struct cgroup *cont)

> > if (parent && parent->use_hierarchy) {

> > res_counter_init(&memcg->res, &parent->res);

> > res_counter_init(&memcg->memsw, &parent->memsw);

> > + res_counter_init(&memcg->kmem, &parent->kmem);

> >

> > Haven't we already discussed that a new memcg should inherit kmem_accounted

> > from its parent for use_hierarchy?

> > Say we have

> > root

> > |

> > A (kmem_accounted = 1, use_hierarchy = 1)

> > \

> > B (kmem_accounted = 0)

> > \

> > C (kmem_accounted = 1)

> >

> > B find's itself in an awkward situation because it doesn't want to

> > account u+k but it ends up doing so because C.

> >

>

> Ok, I haven't updated it here. But that should be taken care of in the

> lifecycle patch.

I am not sure which patch you are thinking about but I would prefer to have it here because it is safe wrt. races and it is more obvious as well.

--

Michal Hocko
SUSE Labs
