## Subject: Re: [PATCH v3 15/16] memcg/sl[au]b: shrink dead caches Posted by JoonSoo Kim on Fri, 21 Sep 2012 04:48:30 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Glauber.

As far as u know, I am not a expert and don't know anything about memcg. IMHO, this implementation may hurt system performance in some case.

In case of memcg is destoried, remained kmem\_cache is marked "dead". After it is marked.

every free operation to this "dead" kmem\_cache call

kmem\_cache\_verify\_dead() and finally call kmem\_cache\_shrink().

kmem\_cache\_shrink() do invoking kmalloc and flush\_all() and taking a lock for online node and invoking kfree.

Especially, flush\_all() may hurt performance largely, because it call has\_cpu\_slab() against all the cpus.

And I know some other case it can hurt system performance.

But, I don't mention it, because above case is sufficient to worry.

And, I found one case that destroying memcg's kmem\_cache don't works properly. If we destroy memcg after all object is freed, current implementation doesn't destroy kmem\_cache.

kmem\_cache\_destroy\_work\_func() check "cachep->memcg\_params.nr\_pages == 0", but in this case, it return false, because kmem\_cache may have cpu slab, and cpu partials slabs.

As we already free all objects, kmem\_cache\_verify\_dead() is not invoked forever. I think that we need another kmem\_cache\_shrink() in

kmem\_cache\_destroy\_work\_func().

I don't convince that I am right, so think carefully my humble opinion.

Thanks.