
Subject: Re: [PATCH v3 05/16] consider a memcg parameter in
kmem_create_cache

Posted by [Glauber Costa](#) on Mon, 24 Sep 2012 15:36:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 09/24/2012 07:38 PM, Pekka Enberg wrote:

> On 09/24/2012 05:56 PM, Christoph Lameter wrote:

>>> On Mon, 24 Sep 2012, Glauber Costa wrote:

>>>

>>>> The reason I say it is orthogonal, is that people will still want to see

>>>> their caches in /proc/slabinfo, regardless of wherever else they'll be.

>>>> It was a requirement from Pekka in one of the first times I posted this,

>>>> IIRC.

>>>

>>> They want to see total counts there true. But as I said we already have a

>>> duplication of the statistics otherwise. We have never done the scheme

>>> that you propose. That is unexpected. I would not expect the numbers to be

>>> there.

>

> On Mon, Sep 24, 2012 at 4:57 PM, Glauber Costa <glommer@parallels.com> wrote:

>> I myself personally believe it can potentially clutter slabinfo, and

>> won't put my energy in defending the current implementation. What I

>> don't want is to keep switching between implementations.

>>

>> Pekka, Tejun, what do you guys say here?

>

> So Christoph is proposing that the new caches appear somewhere under

> the cgroups directory and /proc/slabinfo includes aggregated counts,

> right? I'm certainly OK with that.

>

Just for clarification, I am not sure about the aggregate counts -

although it surely makes sense.

Christoph, is that what you're proposing ?
