Subject: Re: [PATCH v3 05/16] consider a memcg parameter in kmem_create_cache
Posted by Pekka Enberg on Mon, 24 Sep 2012 15:38:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 09/24/2012 05:56 PM, Christoph Lameter wrote:

>> On Mon, 24 Sep 2012, Glauber Costa wrote:

>>

- >>> The reason I say it is orthogonal, is that people will still want to see
- >>> their caches in /proc/slabinfo, regardless of wherever else they'll be.
- >>> It was a requirement from Pekka in one of the first times I posted this,
- >>> IIRC.

>>

- >> They want to see total counts there true. But as I said we already have a
- >> duplication of the statistics otherwise. We have never done the scheme
- >> that you propose. That is unexpected. I would not expect the numbers to be
- >> there.

On Mon, Sep 24, 2012 at 4:57 PM, Glauber Costa <glommer@parallels.com> wrote:

- > I myself personally believe it can potentially clutter slabinfo, and
- > won't put my energy in defending the current implementation. What I
- > don't want is to keep switching between implementations.

>

> Pekka, Tejun, what do you guys say here?

So Christoph is proposing that the new caches appear somewhere under the cgroups directory and /proc/slabinfo includes aggregated counts, right? I'm certainly OK with that.