Subject: Re: [PATCH v3 05/16] consider a memcg parameter in kmem_create_cache
Posted by Pekka Enberg on Mon, 24 Sep 2012 15:38:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 09/24/2012 05:56 PM, Christoph Lameter wrote:
>> On Mon, 24 Sep 2012, Glauber Costa wrote:
>>
>>> The reason I say it is orthogonal, is that people will still want to see
>>> their caches in /proc/slabinfo, regardless of wherever else they'll be.
>>> It was a requirement from Pekka in one of the first times I posted this,
>>> IIRC.
>>
>> They want to see total counts there true. But as I said we already have a
>> duplication of the statistics otherwise. We have never done the scheme
>> that you propose. That is unexpected. I would not expect the numbers to be
>> there.

On Mon, Sep 24, 2012 at 4:57 PM, Glauber Costa <glommer@parallels.com> wrote:
> I myself personally believe it can potentially clutter slabinfo, and
> won't put my energy in defending the current implementation. What I
> don't want is to keep switching between implementations.
>
> Pekka, Tejun, what do you guys say here?

So Christoph is proposing that the new caches appear somewhere under
the cgroups directory and /proc/slabinfo includes aggregated counts,
right? I'm certainly OK with that.