

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH v2 10/11] memcg: allow a memcg with kmem charges to be destroyed.

Posted by [Michal Hocko](#) on Tue, 21 Aug 2012 08:22:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu 09-08-12 17:01:18, Glauber Costa wrote:

> Because the ultimate goal of the kmem tracking in memcg is to track slab  
> pages as well, we can't guarantee that we'll always be able to point a  
> page to a particular process, and migrate the charges along with it -  
> since in the common case, a page will contain data belonging to multiple  
> processes.

>  
> Because of that, when we destroy a memcg, we only make sure the  
> destruction will succeed by discounting the kmem charges from the user  
> charges when we try to empty the cgroup.

This changes the semantic of memory.force\_empty file because the usage should be 0 on success but it will show kmem usage in fact now. I guess it is inevitable with u+k accounting so you should be explicit about that and also update the documentation. If some tests (I am not 100% sure but I guess LTP) rely on that then they could be fixed by checking the kmem limit as well.

> Signed-off-by: Glauber Costa <glommer@parallels.com>

> Acked-by: Kamezawa Hiroyuki <kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>

> CC: Christoph Lameter <cl@linux.com>

> CC: Pekka Enberg <penberg@cs.helsinki.fi>

> CC: Michal Hocko <mhocko@suse.cz>

> CC: Johannes Weiner <hannes@cmpxchg.org>

> CC: Suleiman Souhlal <suleiman@google.com>

> ---

> mm/memcontrol.c | 17 ++++++

> 1 file changed, 16 insertions(+), 1 deletion(-)

>

> diff --git a/mm/memcontrol.c b/mm/memcontrol.c

> index 3d30b79..7c1ea49 100644

> --- a/mm/memcontrol.c

> +++ b/mm/memcontrol.c

> @@ -649,6 +649,11 @@ static void disarm\_kmem\_keys(struct mem\_cgroup \*memcg)

> {

> if (test\_bit(KMEM\_ACCOUNTED\_THIS, &memcg->kmem\_accounted))

> static\_key\_slow\_dec(&memcg\_kmem\_enabled\_key);

> + /\*

> + \* This check can't live in kmem destruction function,

> + \* since the charges will outlive the cgroup

> + \*/

> + WARN\_ON(res\_counter\_read\_u64(&memcg->kmem, RES\_USAGE) != 0);

> }

```
> #else
> static void disarm_kmem_keys(struct mem_cgroup *memcg)
> @@ -4005,6 +4010,7 @@ static int mem_cgroup_force_empty(struct mem_cgroup *memcg,
bool free_all)
> int node, zid, shrink;
> int nr_retries = MEM_CGROUP_RECLAIM_RETRIES;
> struct cgroup *cgrp = memcg->css.cgroup;
> + u64 usage;
>
> css_get(&memcg->css);
>
> @@ -4038,8 +4044,17 @@ move_account:
> mem_cgroup_end_move(memcg);
> memcg_oom_recover(memcg);
> cond_resched();
> + /*
> + * Kernel memory may not necessarily be trackable to a specific
> + * process. So they are not migrated, and therefore we can't
> + * expect their value to drop to 0 here.
> + *
> + * having res filled up with kmem only is enough
> + */
> + usage = res_counter_read_u64(&memcg->res, RES_USAGE) -
> + res_counter_read_u64(&memcg->kmem, RES_USAGE);
> /* "ret" should also be checked to ensure all lists are empty. */
> - } while (res_counter_read_u64(&memcg->res, RES_USAGE) > 0 || ret);
> + } while (usage > 0 || ret);
> out:
> css_put(&memcg->css);
> return ret;
> --
> 1.7.11.2
>
> --
> To unsubscribe from this list: send the line "unsubscribe cgroups" in
> the body of a message to majordomo@vger.kernel.org
> More majordomo info at http://vger.kernel.org/majordomo-info.html
```

--  
Michal Hocko  
SUSE Labs

---