

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH v3] SUNRPC: protect service sockets lists during per-net shutdown

Posted by [Stanislav Kinsbursky](#) on Mon, 20 Aug 2012 15:11:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

> On Mon, Aug 20, 2012 at 03:05:49PM +0400, Stanislav Kinsbursky wrote:

>>> Looking back at this:

>>>

>>> - adding the sv\_lock looks like the right thing to do anyway  
>>> independent of containers, because svc\_age\_temp\_xprts may  
>>> still be running.

>>>

>>> - I'm increasingly unhappy about sharing rpc servers between  
>>> network namespaces. Everything would be easier to understand  
>>> if they were independent. Can we figure out how to do that?

>>>

>>

>> Could you, please, elaborate on your your unhappiness?

>

> It seems like you're having to do a lot of work on each individual rpc  
> server (callback server, lockd, etc.) to make per-net startup/shutdown  
> work. And then we still don't have it quite right (see the shutdown  
> races.)

>

> In general whenever we have the opportunity to have entirely separate  
> data structures, I'd expect that to simplify things: it should eliminate  
> some locking and reference-counting issues.

>

Agreed. But current solution still looks like the easiest way to me to implement desired functionality.

>> I.e. I don't like it too. But the problem here, is that rpc server  
>> is tied with kernel threads creation and destruction. And these  
>> threads can be only a part of initial pid namespace (because we have  
>> only one kthreadd). And we decided do not create new kernel thread  
>> per container when were discussing the problem last time.

>

> There really should be some way to create a kernel thread in a specific  
> namespace, shouldn't there?

>

Kthreads support in a container is rather a "political" problem, than an implementation problem.

Currently, when you call `kthread_create()`, you add new job to `kthreadd` queue. `Kthreadd` is unique, starts right after `init` and lives in global initial environment. So, any `kthread` inherits namespaces from it. Of course, we can start one `kthread` per environment and change it's root or even network namespace in `kthread` function. But pid namespace of this `kthread` will remain global. It looks like not a big problem, when we shutdown `kthread` by some variable. But what about killable `nfsd` `kthreads`?

- 1) We can't kill them from nested pid namespace.
- 2) How we will differ `nfsd` `kthreads` in initial pid namespace?

In OpenVZ we have `kthreadd` per pid hamespace and it allows us to create `kthreads` (and thus services) per pid namespace.

> Until we have that, could the threads be taught to fix their namespace  
> on startup?  
>

Unfortunately, changing of pid namespace for `kthreads` doesn't look like an easy trick.

> --b.  
>

--

Best regards,  
Stanislav Kinsbursky

---