Subject: Re: [PATCH v2 06/11] memcg: kmem controller infrastructure Posted by KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki on Mon, 20 Aug 2012 13:36:16 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

(2012/08/16 2:00), Glauber Costa wrote: > On 08/15/2012 08:38 PM, Greg Thelen wrote: >> On Wed, Aug 15 2012, Glauber Costa wrote: >> >>> On 08/14/2012 10:58 PM, Greg Thelen wrote: >>> On Mon, Aug 13 2012, Glauber Costa wrote: >>>> >>>>> + WARN ON(mem cgroup is root(memcg)); >>>>> + size = (1 << order) << PAGE_SHIFT; >>>>> + memcg_uncharge_kmem(memcg, size); >>>>> + mem_cgroup_put(memcg); >>>>> Why do we need ref-counting here? kmem res_counter cannot work as >>>> reference? >>>> This is of course the pair of the mem_cgroup_get() you commented on >>>> earlier. If we need one, we need the other. If we don't need one, we >>>> don't need the other =) >>>> >>>> The guarantee we're trying to give here is that the memcg structure will >>>> stay around while there are dangling charges to kmem, that we decided >>>> not to move (remember: moving it for the stack is simple, for the slab >>>> is very complicated and ill-defined, and I believe it is better to treat >>>> all kmem equally here) >>>> >>>> By keeping memcg structures hanging around until the last referring kmem >>>> page is uncharged do such zombie memcg each consume a css id and thus >>>> put pressure on the 64k css_id space? I imagine in pathological cases >>>> this would prevent creation of new cgroups until these zombies are >>>> dereferenced. >>> >>> Yes, but although this patch makes it more likely, it doesn't introduce >>> that. If the tasks, for instance, grab a reference to the cgroup dentry >>> in the filesystem (like their CWD, etc), they will also keep the cgroup >>> around. >> >> Fair point. But this doesn't seems like a feature. It's probably not >> needed initially, but what do you think about creating a >> memcg kernel context structure which is allocated when memcg is >> allocated? Kernel pages charged to a memcg would have >> page_cgroup->mem_cgroup=memcg_kernel_context rather than memcg. This >> would allow the mem_cgroup and its css_id to be deleted when the cgroup >> is unlinked from cgroupfs while allowing for the active kernel pages to >> continue pointing to a valid memcg_kernel_context. This would be a >> reference counted structure much like you are doing with memcg. When a

>> memcg is deleted the memcg kernel context would be linked into its

>> surviving parent memcg. This would avoid needing to visit each kernel >> page.

>

> You need more, you need at the res_counters to stay around as well. And > probably other fields.

>

- > So my fear here is that as you add fields to that structure, you can
- > defeat a bit the goal of reducing memory consumption. Still leaves the
- > css space, yes. But by doing this we can introduce some subtle bugs by
- > having a field in the wrong structure.

>

Hm, can't we free css_id and delete css structure from the css_id idr tree when a memcg goes zombie ?

Thanks,

-Kame