Subject: Re: [PATCH v2 09/11] memcg: propagate kmem limiting information to children

Posted by Glauber Costa on Fri, 17 Aug 2012 10:36:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 08/17/2012 02:35 PM, Michal Hocko wrote:

>> > But I never said that can't happen. I said (ok, I meant) the static

>> > branches can't be disabled.

> Ok, then I misunderstood that because the comment was there even before

> static branches were introduced and it made sense to me. This is

> inconsistent with what we do for user accounting because even if we set

> limit to unlimitted we still account. Why should we differ here?

Well, we account even without a limit for user accounting. This is a fundamental difference, no ?

