Subject: Re: [RFC PATCH 5/5] syscall: sys\_fbind() introduced Posted by hpa on Wed, 15 Aug 2012 16:30:37 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 08/15/2012 09:22 AM, Stanislav Kinsbursky wrote:

- > This syscall allows to bind socket to specified file descriptor.
- > Descriptor can be gained by simple open with O PATH flag.
- > Socket node can be created by sys\_mknod().

>

> Signed-off-by: Stanislav Kinsbursky <skinsbursky@parallels.com> > ----

- > arch/x86/syscalls/syscall\_32.tbl |
- 1 +
- > arch/x86/syscalls/syscall\_64.tbl | 1+
- > include/linux/syscalls.h | 1+ > kernel/sys\_ni.c | 3+++
- > net/socket.c
- > 5 files changed, 31 insertions(+), 0 deletions(-)

>

- > diff --git a/arch/x86/syscalls/syscall 32.tbl b/arch/x86/syscalls/syscall 32.tbl
- > index 7a35a6e..9594b82 100644
- > --- a/arch/x86/syscalls/syscall 32.tbl
- > +++ b/arch/x86/syscalls/syscall 32.tbl
- > @ @ -356,3 +356,4 @ @
- > 347 i386 process\_vm\_readv sys\_process\_vm\_readv compat\_sys\_process\_vm\_readv
- > 348 i386 process\_vm\_writev sys\_process\_vm\_writev compat\_sys\_process\_vm\_writev
- > 349 i386 kcmp sys kcmp
- > +350 i386 fbind sys\_fbind

i386 uses socketcalls... perhaps it shouldn't (socketcalls are pretty much an abomination), but for socketcall-based architectures this really should be a socketcall.

Don't you also need fconnect()? Or is that simply handled by allowing open() without O\_PATH?

-hpa

H. Peter Anvin, Intel Open Source Technology Center I work for Intel. I don't speak on their behalf.