Subject: Re: [PATCH v2 04/11] kmem accounting basic infrastructure Posted by Christoph Lameter on Wed, 15 Aug 2012 15:36:28 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Wed, 15 Aug 2012, Greg Thelen wrote:

- > You can already shrink the reclaimable slabs (dentries / inodes) via
- > > calls to the subsystem specific shrinkers. Did Ying Han do anything to
- > > go beyond that?
- > > cc: Ying
- > The Google shrinker patches enhance prune_dcache_sb() to limit dentry
- > pressure to a specific memcg.

Ok then its restricted to the reclaimable slab caches already. The main issue to sort out then is who is the "owner" of an inode/dentry (if something like that exists). If you separate the objects into different pages then the objects may be cleanly separated at the price of more memory use.