Subject: Re: [PATCH v2 02/11] memcg: Reclaim when more than one page needed. Posted by Glauber Costa on Mon, 13 Aug 2012 08:05:38 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message On 08/10/2012 10:54 PM, Michal Hocko wrote: > On Thu 09-08-12 17:01:10, Glauber Costa wrote: >> From: Suleiman Souhlal <ssouhlal@FreeBSD.org> >> >> mem\_cgroup\_do\_charge() was written before kmem accounting, and expects >> three cases: being called for 1 page, being called for a stock of 32 >> pages, or being called for a hugepage. If we call for 2 or 3 pages (and >> both the stack and several slabs used in process creation are such, at >> least with the debug options I had), it assumed it's being called for >> stock and just retried without reclaiming. >> >> Fix that by passing down a minsize argument in addition to the csize. >> >> And what to do about that (csize == PAGE\_SIZE && ret) retry? If it's >> needed at all (and presumably is since it's there, perhaps to handle >> races), then it should be extended to more than PAGE SIZE, yet how far? >> And should there be a retry count limit, of what? For now retry up to >> COSTLY\_ORDER (as page\_alloc.c does) and make sure not to do it if >> \_\_GFP\_NORETRY. >> >> [v4: fixed nr pages calculation pointed out by Christoph Lameter] >> >> Signed-off-by: Suleiman Souhlal <suleiman@google.com> >> Signed-off-by: Glauber Costa <glommer@parallels.com> >> Reviewed-by: Kamezawa Hiroyuki <kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com> > I am not happy with the min\_pages argument but we can do something more > clever later.

I am a bit confused here. Does your ack come before or after your other comments on this patch?

> Acked-by: Michal Hocko <mhocko@suse.cz>

>