

---

Subject: Re: Fork bomb limitation in memcg WAS: Re: [PATCH 00/11] kmem controller for memcg: stripped down ve

Posted by [Glauber Costa](#) on Wed, 08 Aug 2012 14:15:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 08/07/2012 05:59 PM, Glauber Costa wrote:

> On 06/29/2012 02:25 AM, Andrew Morton wrote:

>> On Thu, 28 Jun 2012 13:01:23 +0400

>> Glauber Costa <glommer@parallels.com> wrote:

>>

>>>

>>> ...

>>>

>>

>> OK, that all sounds convincing ;) Please summarise and capture this  
>> discussion in the [patch 0/n] changelog so we (or others) don't have to  
>> go through this all again. And let's remember this in the next  
>> patchset!

>>

>>> Last, but not least, note that it is totally within my interests to  
>>> merge the slab tracking as fast as we can. it'll be a matter of going  
>>> back to it, and agreeing in the final form.

>>

>> Yes, I'd very much like to have the whole slab implementation in a  
>> reasonably mature state before proceeding too far with this base  
>> patchset.

>>

> So, that was posted separately as well.

>

> Although there is a thing to fix here and there - all of them I am  
> working on already - I believe that to be mature enough.

>

> Do you have any comments on that? Would you be willing to take this  
> first part (modified with the comments on this thread itself) and let it  
> start sitting in the tree?

>

In the mean time, for any interested parties, I've set up a tree at:

`git://github.com/glommer/linux.git`

branches kmemcg-slab and kmemcg-stack

Intended to be a throw-away tree.

---