Subject: Re: Fork bomb limitation in memcg WAS: Re: [PATCH 00/11] kmem controller for memcg: stripped down ve Posted by Glauber Costa on Tue, 07 Aug 2012 13:59:25 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On 06/29/2012 02:25 AM, Andrew Morton wrote:
> On Thu, 28 Jun 2012 13:01:23 +0400
> Glauber Costa <glommer@parallels.com> wrote:
>>
>> ...
>>
>
> OK, that all sounds convincing;) Please summarise and capture this
> discussion in the [patch 0/n] changelog so we (or others) don't have to
> go through this all again. And let's remember this in the next
> patchset!
>> Last, but not least, note that it is totally within my interests to
>> merge the slab tracking as fast as we can. it'll be a matter of going
>> back to it, and agreeing in the final form.
> Yes, I'd very much like to have the whole slab implementation in a
> reasonably mature state before proceeding too far with this base
> patchset.
So, that was posted separately as well.
Although there is a thing to fix here and there - all of them I am
```

working on already - I believe that to be mature enough.

Do you have any comments on that? Would you be willing to take this first part (modified with the comments on this thread itself) and let it start sitting in the tree?

Thanks!