Subject: Re: nginx, inside openvz CT, worker_cpu_affinity Posted by Vladimir Davydov on Tue, 31 Jul 2012 15:32:11 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On 07/31/2012 07:14 PM, Solar Designer wrote:
> On Tue, Jul 31, 2012 at 12:52:56PM +0400, Andrey Vagin wrote:
>> Here is an answer from Vladimir Davydov, who maintains scheduler in
>> vzkernel.
>>
>> On Tue, Jul 31, 2012 at 10:29:43AM +0400, Vladimir Davydov wrote:
>>> http://nginx.org/en/docs/ngx_core_module.html#worker_cpu_aff inity
>>> > worker_processes
>>> > worker_cpu_affinity 0001 0010 0100 1000;
>>> > "Binds worker processes to the sets of CPUs."
>>>> Does it make sense inside OpenVZ container?
>>> It never works. CPU affinity masks are ignored inside containers.
> I don't know about nginx in particular, but CPU affinity masks certainly
> work for me in OpenVZ containers, at least on RHEL5'ish kernels. I've
> just re-tested on this one:
> $ uname -mrs
> Linux 2.6.18-308.4.1.el5.028stab100.2.owl1 x86_64
> Specifically, sched_setaffinity() in custom code (custom patch to Apache's
> suEXEC) and libgomp's GOMP_CPU_AFFINITY env var both work just fine.
> Vladimir - does your comment apply to some other kernel versions?
> RHEL6'ish maybe? It'd be good to know and be prepared... and it'd be
> really unfortunate to lose this functionality when we finally move to
> RHEL6'ish kernels (soonish).
```

In RHEL5-based kernels we had the notion of virtual cpus: tasks were scheduled on vcpus while the vcpus were somehow distributed among physical cpus. The sched_setaffinity syscall could be used to bind tasks to vcpus then.

This concept was cumbersome and often sub-optimal so in RHEL6 we decided to drop it: currently setting nr_cpus limit for a container is actually equivalent to setting cpulimit. The decision is justified by the fact that the latest Linux scheduler is smart enough to gather actively interacting tasks together so that there is no need to limit parallelism artificially.

As a result, cpu affinity support was dropped. Tasks can still use the sched_setaffinity syscall, but it will be ignored.

> Thanks,

> Alexander